

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,907	01/14/2004	Nick Galea	TJK/442	3107
27717 SEYFARTH S	7590 07/31/2007 HAW LLP		EXAMINER PATEL, HARESH N	
131 S. DEARB CHICAGO, IL	ORN ST., SUITE2400			
CHICAGO, IL	00003-3803		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			de
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/756,907	GALEA, NICK	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Haresh Patel	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, ma will apply and will expire SIX (6) a. cause the application to become	INICATION. By a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Journal 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance of the condition of the practice of the condition of the condit	s action is non-final. nce except for formal r		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration The oath or declarat	or election requirement er. e: a)⊠ accepted or b) e drawing(s) be held in ab ction is required if the dra	☐ objected to by the Examiner. eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
·	Xammer. Note the atta	office of the or term is the first	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. □ Certified copies of the priority document 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received ority documents have b au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No neen received in this National Stage	,
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/10/04, 1/14/04.	Pape 5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date se of Informal Patent Application r:	

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-8 are subject to examination.

Priority

2. Applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or (f), is acknowledged.

Specification

3. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

- "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,907 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

(i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).

- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
 - i. The disclosure is objected because it is not arranged as per abovementioned sections.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The present title, "Regulating receipt of electronic mail" is too broad and is not sufficient for proper classification of the claimed subject matter.

Drawings

5. The figures submitted on 1/14/2004 are acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

6. An initialed and dated copy of the applicant's IDS form 1449, paper dated 5/10/04, 1/14/04, is attached to the instant Office action.

Claim Objections

7. Claims 1-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 1 and 5 contain "," which should be --;-- at the end of first capturing step of the claims.

Claims 1 and 5 contain "if" which should be --when-- for all the limitations of the claim as "if" is a conditional statement.

Claims 2-4 contain, "A system as claimed" which should -- The system as claimed--

Claims 6 and 7 contain, "A method as claimed", which should -- The method as claimed--

Claim 8 is a computer program claim that is independent claim, and which also contain limitations of claim 5 or claim 6 or claim 7, hence the claim 8 claims three independent claims together. The claim 8 should be claimed as: claim 8 referring to claim 5, claim 9 referring to claim 6, and claim 10 referring to claim 7.

Claim 3 contains, "predetermined time", which should --predetermined amount of time--Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to a non-statutory subject matter. The claims 1-4 contain "means for" that are not limited to hardware. Claim 8 claim a program, which does not fall into any of the statutory categories.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitations, "probably not". These limitations are indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter in the claim.

Claims 1 and 7 recite the limitations, "it". These limitations are indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitations, "that". These limitations are indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitations, "probably". These limitations are indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitations, "to be". These limitations are indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitations, "likely", "unlikely". These limitations are indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter in the claim.

Claim 6 recite the limitations, "the latest date". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim (Please see MPEP 706.03(d)).

Claim 7 recites the limitations, "found probable" "that". These limitations are indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,907 Page 6

Art Unit: 2154

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 11. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 2004/0111531 Staniford et al. (Hereinafter Staniford).
- 12. Referring to claim 1, Staniford discloses a system of regulating receipt of electronic mail (e.g., col., 7) comprising capturing means for capturing an outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., col., 7), outgoing electronic mail analysing means for determining an electronic mail address of at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., col., 7); list updating means for updating a pass list of electronic mail addresses with the at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., col., 7); incoming mail capture means for capturing incoming electronic mail messages (e.g., col., 7); incoming electronic mail analysing means for determining whether an electronic mail address of a sender of the incoming electronic mail message is a member of the pass list and for forwarding the incoming message to an intended recipient if the address of the sender is a member of the pass list (e.g., col., 7); and analysing means for determining a probability that the incoming message is an unsolicited

message if the address of the sender is not a member of the pass list and for forwarding the incoming message to the intended recipient if it is determined that the incoming message is probably not an unsolicited message (e.g., col., 7).

- 13. Referring to claim 2, Staniford discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Staniford also discloses wherein the list updating means includes dating means for dating members of the pass list with a date that a message was last sent to that member (e.g., col., 6).
- 14. Referring to claim 3, Staniford discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Staniford also discloses pass list purging means for purging the pass list of members to which a message has not been sent for a predetermined time (e.g., col., 6).
- 15. Referring to claim 4, Staniford discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Staniford also discloses deletion means for deleting an incoming message which the analysing means determines is probably an unsolicited message (e.g., col., 5).
- Referring to claim 5, Staniford discloses a method of regulating receipt of electronic mail (e.g., col., 7) comprising the steps of: capturing an outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., col., 7), analysing the outgoing electronic mail message to determine an electronic mail address of at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., col., 7); updating a pass list of electronic mail addresses with the at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., col., 7); capturing an incoming electronic mail message(e.g., col.,

Art Unit: 2154

(e.g., col., 7).

7), analysing the incoming electronic mail message to determine whether an electronic mail address of a sender of the incoming electronic mail message is a member of the pass list (e.g., col., 7); passing the incoming electronic mail message to an addressee if the electronic address of the sender of the incoming message is a member of the pass list (e.g., col., 7); filtering the incoming message to analyse whether the incoming message is likely to be an unsolicited message if the address of the sender is not on the pass list (e.g., col., 7); and if the incoming message is found unlikely to be a unsolicited message forwarding the message to the addressee

Page 8

- 17. Referring to claim 6, Staniford discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Staniford also discloses wherein the step of updating a pass list includes updating the pass list with the latest date on which a message has been sent to an address, for subsequent purging of addresses to which messages have not been sent within a predetermined period of time (e.g., col., 6).
- 18. Referring to claim 7, Staniford discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Staniford also discloses wherein the incoming message is deleted if it is found probable that the message is an unsolicited message (e.g., col., 6).
- 19. Referring to claim 8, Staniford discloses a computer program comprising code means for performing all the steps of the method of any of claims 5 to 7 when the program is run on one or more computers (e.g., col., 7).

Art Unit: 2154

20. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by MajorNewswire.com, "Red Earth Software Releases Policy Patrol version 2.0", pages 1 and 2, Dec 2, 2002 (Hereinafter MajorNewswire).

Page 9

21. Referring to claim 1, MajorNewswire discloses a system of regulating receipt of electronic mail (e.g., pages 1, 2) comprising capturing means for capturing an outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2), outgoing electronic mail analysing means for determining an electronic mail address of at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); list updating means for updating a pass list of electronic mail addresses with the at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); incoming mail capture means for capturing incoming electronic mail messages (e.g., pages 1, 2); incoming electronic mail analysing means for determining whether an electronic mail address of a sender of the incoming electronic mail message is a member of the pass list and for forwarding the incoming message to an intended recipient if the address of the sender is a member of the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); and analysing means for determining a probability that the incoming message is an unsolicited message if the address of the sender is not a member of the pass list and for forwarding the incoming message to the intended recipient if it is determined that the incoming message is probably not an unsolicited message (e.g., pages 1, 2).

- 22. Referring to claim 2, MajorNewswire discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. MajorNewswire also discloses wherein the list updating means includes dating means for dating members of the pass list with a date that a message was last sent to that member (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 23. Referring to claim 3, MajorNewswire discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. MajorNewswire also discloses pass list purging means for purging the pass list of members to which a message has not been sent for a predetermined time (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 24. Referring to claim 4, MajorNewswire discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. MajorNewswire also discloses deletion means for deleting an incoming message which the analysing means determines is probably an unsolicited message (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 25. Referring to claim 5, MajorNewswire discloses a method of regulating receipt of electronic mail (e.g., pages 1, 2) comprising the steps of: capturing an outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2), analysing the outgoing electronic mail message to determine an electronic mail address of at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); updating a pass list of electronic mail addresses with the at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); capturing an incoming electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2), analysing the incoming electronic mail message to determine whether an electronic mail address of a sender of the incoming electronic mail message is a member of the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); passing the incoming electronic mail

message to an addressee if the electronic address of the sender of the incoming message is a member of the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); filtering the incoming message to analyse whether the incoming message is likely to be an unsolicited message if the address of the sender is not on the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); and if the incoming message is found unlikely to be a unsolicited message forwarding the message to the addressee (e.g., pages 1, 2).

- 26. Referring to claim 6, MajorNewswire discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. MajorNewswire also discloses wherein the step of updating a pass list includes updating the pass list with the latest date on which a message has been sent to an address, for subsequent purging of addresses to which messages have not been sent within a predetermined period of time (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 27. Referring to claim 7, MajorNewswire discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. MajorNewswire also discloses wherein the incoming message is deleted if it is found probable that the message is an unsolicited message (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 28. Referring to claim 8, MajorNewswire discloses a computer program comprising code means for performing all the steps of the method of any of claims 5 to 7 when the program is run on one or more computers (e.g., pages 1, 2).

Art Unit: 2154

29. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by www.Randomhacks.net, "Bayesian Whitelisting: Finding the Good Main Among the Spam", pages 1-6, Sep 29, 2002 (Hereinafter Randomhacks).

Page 12

- 30. Referring to claim 1, Randomhacks discloses a system of regulating receipt of electronic mail (e.g., pages 1, 2) comprising capturing means for capturing an outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2), outgoing electronic mail analysing means for determining an electronic mail address of at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); list updating means for updating a pass list of electronic mail addresses with the at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); incoming mail capture means for capturing incoming electronic mail messages (e.g., pages 1, 2); incoming electronic mail analysing means for determining whether an electronic mail address of a sender of the incoming electronic mail message is a member of the pass list and for forwarding the incoming message to an intended recipient if the address of the sender is a member of the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); and analysing means for determining a probability that the incoming message is an unsolicited message if the address of the sender is not a member of the pass list and for forwarding the incoming message to the intended recipient if it is determined that the incoming message is probably not an unsolicited message (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 31. Referring to claim 2, Randomhacks discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above.

 Randomhacks also discloses wherein the list updating means includes dating means for dating

members of the pass list with a date that a message was last sent to that member (e.g., pages 1, 2).

- Referring to claim 3, Randomhacks discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Randomhacks also discloses pass list purging means for purging the pass list of members to which a message has not been sent for a predetermined time (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- Randomhacks also discloses deletion means for deleting an incoming message which the analysing means determines is probably an unsolicited message (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- Referring to claim 5, Randomhacks discloses a method of regulating receipt of electronic mail (e.g., pages 1, 2) comprising the steps of: capturing an outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2), analysing the outgoing electronic mail message to determine an electronic mail address of at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); updating a pass list of electronic mail addresses with the at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); capturing an incoming electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2), analysing the incoming electronic mail message to determine whether an electronic mail address of a sender of the incoming electronic mail message is a member of the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); passing the incoming electronic mail message to an addressee if the electronic address of the sender of the incoming message is a member of the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); filtering the incoming message to analyse whether the incoming message is likely to

Art Unit: 2154

be an unsolicited message if the address of the sender is not on the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); and if the incoming message is found unlikely to be a unsolicited message forwarding the message to the addressee (e.g., pages 1, 2).

Page 14

- Referring to claim 6, Randomhacks discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Randomhacks also discloses wherein the step of updating a pass list includes updating the pass list with the latest date on which a message has been sent to an address, for subsequent purging of addresses to which messages have not been sent within a predetermined period of time (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- Referring to claim 7, Randomhacks discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Randomhacks also discloses wherein the incoming message is deleted if it is found probable that the message is an unsolicited message (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 37. Referring to claim 8, Randomhacks discloses a computer program comprising code means for performing all the steps of the method of any of claims 5 to 7 when the program is run on one or more computers (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 38. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by www.rhyolite.com, "Automatic white-listing from outgoing email", pages 1 and 2, Jan 1, 2003 (Hereinafter Rhyolite).

Art Unit: 2154

39. Referring to claim 1, Rhyolite discloses a system of regulating receipt of electronic mail (e.g., pages 1, 2) comprising capturing means for capturing an outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2), outgoing electronic mail analysing means for determining an electronic mail address of at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); list updating means for updating a pass list of electronic mail addresses with the at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); incoming mail capture means for capturing incoming electronic mail messages (e.g., pages 1, 2); incoming electronic mail analysing means for determining whether an electronic mail address of a sender of the incoming electronic mail message is a member of the pass list and for forwarding the incoming message to an intended recipient if the address of the sender is a member of the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); and analysing means for determining a probability that the incoming message is an unsolicited message if the address of the sender is not a member of the pass list and for forwarding the incoming message to the intended recipient if it is determined that the incoming message is probably not an unsolicited message (e.g., pages 1, 2).

Page 15

40. Referring to claim 2, Rhyolite discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Rhyolite also discloses wherein the list updating means includes dating means for dating members of the pass list with a date that a message was last sent to that member (e.g., pages 1, 2).

- 41. Referring to claim 3, Rhyolite discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Rhyolite also discloses pass list purging means for purging the pass list of members to which a message has not been sent for a predetermined time (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 42. Referring to claim 4, Rhyolite discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Rhyolite also discloses deletion means for deleting an incoming message which the analysing means determines is probably an unsolicited message (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 43. Referring to claim 5, Rhyolite discloses a method of regulating receipt of electronic mail (e.g., pages 1, 2) comprising the steps of: capturing an outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2), analysing the outgoing electronic mail message to determine an electronic mail address of at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); updating a pass list of electronic mail addresses with the at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); capturing an incoming electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2), analysing the incoming electronic mail message to determine whether an electronic mail address of a sender of the incoming electronic mail message is a member of the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); passing the incoming electronic mail message to an addressee if the electronic address of the sender of the incoming message is a member of the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); filtering the incoming message to analyse whether the incoming message is likely to be an unsolicited message if the address of the sender is not on the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); and if the incoming message is found unlikely to be a unsolicited message forwarding the message to the addressee (e.g., pages 1, 2).

- Referring to claim 6, Rhyolite discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Rhyolite also discloses wherein the step of updating a pass list includes updating the pass list with the latest date on which a message has been sent to an address, for subsequent purging of addresses to which messages have not been sent within a predetermined period of time (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 45. Referring to claim 7, Rhyolite discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Rhyolite also discloses wherein the incoming message is deleted if it is found probable that the message is an unsolicited message (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 46. Referring to claim 8, Rhyolite discloses a computer program comprising code means for performing all the steps of the method of any of claims 5 to 7 when the program is run on one or more computers (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 47. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by www.hexamail.com, "Hexamail", pages 1-8, 11/28/2002 (Hereinafter Hexamail).
- 48. Referring to claim 1, Hexamail discloses a system of regulating receipt of electronic mail (e.g., pages 1, 2) comprising capturing means for capturing an outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2), outgoing electronic mail analysing means for determining an electronic mail address of at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1,

- 2); list updating means for updating a pass list of electronic mail addresses with the at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); incoming mail capture means for capturing incoming electronic mail messages (e.g., pages 1, 2); incoming electronic mail analysing means for determining whether an electronic mail address of a sender of the incoming electronic mail message is a member of the pass list and for forwarding the incoming message to an intended recipient if the address of the sender is a member of the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); and analysing means for determining a probability that the incoming message is an unsolicited message if the address of the sender is not a member of the pass list and for forwarding the incoming message to the intended recipient if it is determined that the incoming message is probably not an unsolicited message (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 49. Referring to claim 2, Hexamail discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Hexamail also discloses wherein the list updating means includes dating means for dating members of the pass list with a date that a message was last sent to that member (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- For the second s

Art Unit: 2154

Page 19

- Fig. Referring to claim 4, Hexamail discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Hexamail also discloses deletion means for deleting an incoming message which the analysing means determines is probably an unsolicited message (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 52. Referring to claim 5, Hexamail discloses a method of regulating receipt of electronic mail (e.g., pages 1, 2) comprising the steps of: capturing an outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2), analysing the outgoing electronic mail message to determine an electronic mail address of at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); updating a pass list of electronic mail addresses with the at least one intended recipient of the outgoing electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2); capturing an incoming electronic mail message (e.g., pages 1, 2), analysing the incoming electronic mail message to determine whether an electronic mail address of a sender of the incoming electronic mail message is a member of the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); passing the incoming electronic mail message to an addressee if the electronic address of the sender of the incoming message is a member of the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); filtering the incoming message to analyse whether the incoming message is likely to be an unsolicited message if the address of the sender is not on the pass list (e.g., pages 1, 2); and if the incoming message is found unlikely to be a unsolicited message forwarding the message to the addressee (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- Fig. 1. Referring to claim 6, Hexamail discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Hexamail also discloses wherein the step of updating a pass list includes updating the pass list with the latest date on which a message has been sent to an address, for subsequent purging of

addresses to which messages have not been sent within a predetermined period of time (e.g., pages 1, 2).

- 54. Referring to claim 7, Hexamail discloses the claimed limitations as rejected as above. Hexamail also discloses wherein the incoming message is deleted if it is found probable that the message is an unsolicited message (e.g., pages 1, 2).
- 55. Referring to claim 8, Hexamail discloses a computer program comprising code means for performing all the steps of the method of any of claims 5 to 7 when the program is run on one or more computers (e.g., pages 1, 2).

Conclusion

Multiple rejections are used to demonstrate that Automatic / Dynamic whitelisting was known prior to the earliest effective date of this application, i.e., 01/15/2003. Please refer to the "Red Earth Software", letter of 11 March 2004, paper dated 5/10/2004 regarding the whitelisting.

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers and/or paragraphs and/or sections and/or page numbers in the reference(s) as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety, as potentially teaching, all or part of the

Art Unit: 2154

07 Page 21

claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage, as taught by the prior art or disclosed by

the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Haresh Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-3973. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 10:00 am to

8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn, can be reached at (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Haresh Patel

Haresh Patel

7/15/07